

**Scott Houtteman** 

## Interview Summary

08/479,997 Examiner

Engelhardt et al. Group Art Unit 1656

|--|

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe	
(1) Soot House	
	(3)
(2) <u>Ap. Rep. Fedus</u> Date of Interview <u>Feb 10, 2001</u>	(4)
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐applicant 2)  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐Yes e)	applicant's representative] 图b. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed:	
dentification of prior art discussed:	
greement with respect to the claims f) was reached g)	was not reached. h) N/A.
ther comments:	are of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any
eft message with Ap. Rep. that the amendment filed 1/18/2000	O was received as well as the courtous assure
	counterly copy.
fullor depositation of	
ailable, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments many thereof must be attached.)	s which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable ments that would render the claims allowable is available, a
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate recess the paragraph above here here to be a separate.	cord of the substance of the interview (if box is abacked)
less the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WILLIAM THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP eady been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM TREATH THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of	VRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.